



PRIME MINISTER

TRANSCRIPT OF INTERVIEW/TALKBACK WITH DIANA WARNOCK,
RADIO 6WF, 13 MARCH 1990

E AND O E PROOF ONLY

WARNOCK: The polls as well as that big undecided vote also show disillusionment and cynicism with the major parties and a move to the independents. Why do you think that has happened, how much blame do you take for the fact that you seem to be dealing with a cynical electorate this time around.

PM: Well I think this is somewhat overstated, I have said Diana that I think one of the main reasons for the move to votes for the non major parties is the issue of the environment. I think that is a fact not only in Australia but around the world if you want to find one particular issue I think that is it.

WARNOCK: You don't think that there is any reason for them to be cynical about both major parties.

PM: Certainly there is reason for them to be cynical about the Liberal and National parties. As I have said they have manifestly proved themselves incapable of governing themselves. They are so bitterly internally divided that the electorate is entitled to be cynical if people can't as I have said govern themselves, they can't govern the country. I don't think there is any reason to be cynical about the government I mean if you want to look at the sorts of things that are relevant to people's welfare let's look at them, 1.6 million new jobs which is a creation of jobs twice as fast as the rest of the world and almost two and three of our kids staying on in school now as against one and three when we came into office, investment at the highest level that we have had in history which means we are re-equipping ourselves to become more competitive so we can export more goods. If you look at those sorts of things, if you look at the fact that in the last three years we have had a \$17 billion

2.

PM: (cont'd) surplus that I have done there what has never been done before in the history of this country. Ok we have had to do some tough things and I don't like that. We have had to have interest rates high during this last 12 months or more but that is not for fun. I mean Bob Hawke is not a person who wants to hurt himself and he certainly doesn't want to hurt his fellow Australians but we have had to have high interest rates otherwise we would have just been importing more goods than we could sustain, but our interest rates are going to come down.

WARNOCK: Sure nonetheless well I will leave that to people to decide because we have heard almost ad infinitum what both parties have had to say about those interest rates during the election campaign. Nonetheless there remain a very large core of undecided voters, the Democrats too seem to be polling an awful lot more than they usually do. They can expect a much higher vote this time I would suggest. Now there has been some rather bad news for the Labor Party this time in that the Democrats in Western Australia and I am sure you would agree it's a very important state for Labor and for the coalition, the Democrats have decided that they will give their preferences, they will go against sitting members. Well obviously there are more sitting members who are Labor, members here in Western Australia that's a bit of a blow isn't it.

PM: Well you have to ask yourself whether in fact the Democrats are going to be able to retain their vote because the simple fact is if that were done what the Democrats in Western Australia would be asking voters in voting Democrat to do would be to vote for more uranium mining, to vote for uranium enrichment industry, to vote for mining in Kakadu, to vote for the abolition of Medicare, to vote for the abolition of the capital gains tax and these things one had understood the Democrats were passionately opposed to. But the simple fact is if they give their second preference to the Liberal and National Party to bring in a government of Liberal and National Party the Democrats in Western Australia would have been voting for all those things, for uranium mining, uranium enrichment, mining in Kakadu, abolition of Medicare. Now how serious are the Democrats here. I mean the Democrats in the rest of Australia are furious with them the Green Movement is furious with them and they have got to ask themselves a question how dinkum are they, they have got to ask themselves do they really believe that people are going to take them seriously if they are asking people through the Democrats to vote for mining in Kakadu, uranium enrichment industry. That's what the West Australian Democrats stand for, is it?

WARNOCK: I would have thought however, that there were already bad signs for the Labor Party in Thomastown that by-election in recent months. I believe that the Democrat vote went 60/40 to the Liberals there instead of as it has in the past 60/40 to the Labor Party. It could be very damaging to you.

PM: Thomastown is history Diana, if you -

3.

PM: The Liberals took it as a sign though. Tony Eggleton was saying this morning -

PM: If the Liberals want to take it as a sign then what happened there is the Liberals came last so I am quite happy if they take it as a sign, quite happy if they take it as a sign.

WARNOCK: We will be taking calls in just a moment. There are one or two other things I do want to ask you about. It's obviously no accident that you and Andrew Peacock have spent rather a lot of time in Western Australia during the campaign. Is WA though still a disaster area, a danger zone for the Labor Party do you think?

PM: I haven't changed my planning about my campaigning, Andrew has. I had always intended, the planning was laid down to come to WA the number of times that I have. The fact that Mr Peacock is changing his planning as coming over here reflects what their researchers told them, and that research has told them that the easy assumption they made last year that West Australians were mugs, that was the easy assumption they made they just said West Australians are mugs they are just going to, because they have been unhappy with certain things about the West Australian state government as it was they are going to vote for Andrew Peacock. That was always a stupid assumption for them to make and I am not saying this now I said this months ago, said it publicly and now they have come to understand that what Hawke said was right that West Australians aren't mugs that they are not simply going to say I a West Australian voter within the Commonwealth of Australia am going to give myself a kick in the backside by voting for Andrew Peacock because I may have been unhappy with something that a previous premier did.

WARNOCK: Well there was some other rather bad news for Labor concerning Western Australia recently when Graeme Campbell the Member for Kalgoorlie made some very frank comments about Senator Richardson. That can't have been very helpful.

PM: Well it's been talked about, it's certainly, I mean it hasn't got me terribly excited Diana and I have said Graeme Campbell is a bit of a maverick and got that reputation if it's a question of the reputation of Graham Richardson being on the line his achievements are so obvious and outstanding and recognised here and around Australia. If you look at the poll and if you look at the Westpoll today on the issue of Shark Bay which is a thing that got Campbell going look at the poll what did the poll show, it showed an overwhelming majority of people in favour for the decision so I am not, I mean obviously I would rather he hadn't said what he did but a non event, a non issue.

WARNOCK: Well the Greens and the unions have declared perhaps rather predictably for Labor, but the pilots, the pharmacists and some tourism industry operators perhaps

4.

WARNOCK: (cont'd) others as well seem to be against you. How influential do you think these endorsements and attacks are during the campaign.

PM: As I said the fact that the remnants of the pilots federation have set themselves up as Andrew's army is no minus for me. Don't let's forget what the issue is. These are the people, these remnants that are blarring around wherever I go now, these remnants. It's very simple opposition that they wanted just 12 months ago, they were going to murder the Australian economy 30% wage increase they were going to take on the unions, the arbitration system, their employers, the government, everyone and they were going to get 30%. Well a great overwhelming majority of Australian people said not on your nelly, you are not going to destroy the system and these people are paying the price for their own silliness in trying to set themselves apart, airline systems are operating the people are back at work and it was always going to be the case that they could not, Australians not just Bob Hawke but Australians were not going to allow them to win that and the fact that they are now getting up and saying you know vote for Andrew and put Labor last is no minus for me.

WARNOCK: Well the pharmacists are also campaigning against you very vigorously in some electorates.

PM: In some but the pharmacists have to look at themselves. I mean the pharmacists through their organisation solemnly put in writing their commitment to call off this campaign of duress. Now these people simply are people whose word means nothing and they will be judged accordingly. They have got a full review going on before the tribunal. The tribunal as a condition of having the review required them to give an undertaking that this duress would be taken off. Their organisation over the signature of their president said that is what they would do now they are breaking their word. I don't think Australian people like people who break their word like that.

CALLER: I am an immigrant from South Africa, been here 7 years, parents have recently visited us. Now to my knowledge we used to have an air link to South Africa I know why it was stopped but this used to be a 12 hour flight from here to Johannesburg. I think we used to have 4 flights a week. Mum and Dad had to suddenly go back because my young brother had a heart attack, they got on a Qantas flight it took them 28 hours to get from Perth to Johannesburg. Now we just felt that was terrible, now I don't know what we can do about that. Secondly interest rates and cost of living. To my calculations, now I am not an economist or anything like that but just my ordinary working life and home life I feel that my cost of living has gone up by 19%, how does one work out the inflation, thanks Mr Hawke.

PM: On the first point you are quite right we did have direct air links before we cut them out, we cut them out for

5.

PM: (cont'd) a very simple reason it is part of a worldwide repugnance against the abhorrent system of apartheid, we have imposed sanctions and pressures upon South Africa and I am proud of the fact that Australia has been in the forefront of that move and I am particularly proud of the fact that as a result of what we in collaboration with the Commonwealth countries have done and countries outside the Commonwealth that that pressure is now leading to the first signs of significant change in South Africa so that the country which was unique in the world in imposing a ban upon the capacity of people to participate in government simply on the colour of their skin is now moving toward negotiation and dialogue which hopefully will lead to South Africa becoming a multi racial democracy so you will get no measure, no change out of me at all on that one. The facts are very very simple that what we have done in collaboration with others has meant that at long last fortunately South Africa is moving in a way which I hope will lead to it being able to rejoin the international family of nations and no one hopes for that more fervently than I do and if that happens and of course we will have air links, we will have sporting links and we will have it them with a country which is entitled to be part of the international family of nations. Now second question about interest rates, facts there very simple. We have since we have been in government, since 83, we have followed a economic policy of growth and that growth has given us a situation where we have created jobs, 1.6 million new jobs and that is five times faster than it was before, it's twice as fast as the rest of the world and it's led to record investment, we are having our industry now re-equipping itself, we are competing now in manufactured exports around the world in a way that's never been done before. We are exporting cars and car parts to Japan and the United States, ship building industries, exporting ships around the world, pharmaceutical industry, a whole range of things and that growth in fact achieved such proportions last year that we had to tighten monetary policy because if we hadn't we simply would have been bringing into the country more imports than we could possibly afford so we had to slow things down. Now I haven't liked doing that but the alternative would have meant the collapse of the Australian economy and the fact is now that the banking industry of Australia, the banking industry who sets rates is saying that under these policies of this government which are now working, interest rates will be coming down. The opposite of course would happen if the other crowd got in because there would be a wages explosion and a busting of the budget surplus that I have created and both those things would mean a massive rise in interest rates.

CALLER: Prime Minister I wish to challenge you to make a national broadcast not later than the 22 March as follows, that you will guarantee that your party, if re-elected to this parliament, the first bill to be brought to parliament will be a proclamation that all future elections will be held on the same week and month of the year as this election, say the third Saturday in March and each fourth year from now on, that's from 1990 as it appears that most people agree on a 4

6.

CALLER: (cont'd) year term.

PM: Well unfortunately you are just way out of kilter with facts. I put a referendum Tom, I put a referendum to the people asking for a 4 year term and that referendum was defeated, why was it defeated Tom, because the opportunistic people sitting opposite me in the parliament, the Liberals and the National Party opposed it. So I put the proposal for a 4 year term and because of the opportunism of my political opponents that was defeated. As to going a full term I have an outstanding record in that regard. I was elected in 83, March of 83 I had an election at the end of 84 to bring the 2 elections into kilter, then I went full term from 84 to 87, I have gone full term from 87 to 90.

CALLER: My name is Brian Kirk, I am 34 years of age I am married with 2 young children, one 3, one 5. I am dying of the disease called -

PM: I am sorry Brian what was that?

CALLER: I am dying of the disease of the lungs due to asbestos, I was exposed when I was working for Westrail and the government. In your policy speech you said that no Australian under Labor government is expendable. I and thousands of my fellow Australians who are now dying of this avoidable asbestos disease will probably disagree with you. The government has refused point blank to assist in funding any research into this disease and up until funding stopped they have had actually three people benefitted from the treatment that we were under. Unfortunately I wasn't one of them, I was on injections that didn't work for me.

WARNOCK: Brian you are asking the Prime Minister whether the federal government will fund asbestos diseases research, are you, because we did have a question from another caller who rang us before the program began to ask us whether the federal government would fund asbestos diseases research and the Asbestos Diseases Society.

CALLER: Right. Well I don't know who the caller was.

WARNOCK: Dave Hall was his name, he rang us before the program began.

CALLER: That's right, actually Dave Hall was the president of the combined unions of the South West.

WARNOCK: And that's what you are asking the Prime Minister about Brian?

CALLER: Yes.

PM: Let me say of course, first of all my sorrow at the situation you are in and no words can help you I know, but I nevertheless extend them to you. On the question of research of funding for research in medical area there has been an enormous increase in funding under my government for research

PM: (cont'd) generally but also in regard to medical research in particular and indeed the relevant body, the medical research body has had a greater proportion of the increase in funding than any other section of research in this country. Now as to what is done with the allocation of the increased funding that we have made that is not our decision. I mean we have increased the funds very substantially for medical research in this country but if you like Brian, what I certainly undertake to do would be to have an enquiry made as to what the factual situation is in regard to allocation of funds, whether it's true that there has been a cut back in actual research done, that would surprise me if it is the case. But all I can say Brian is the actual financial resources for medical research have been increased, how they have been allocated I don't know, but I will find out and if you would like to give this station your address privately I would see that you get a detailed response because you are entitled to it.

CALLER: I would like to ask the question why is your policy, your party policy giving so much to the young families of today. What about the senior citizens, the over 65s who fought for their country.

PM: Ok now let me answer that question very simply and just let my preface my answer by saying that yesterday I was up at Gosnells and talking to a large number of elderly citizens up there and I talked to them about the issues that you asked about which I will answer you in a moment and after I had done that I said to them but I take the view which I hope is right that the elderly citizens of this country are not only concerned about the things that are directly relevant to them like pensions and so on, but I guess you are also concerned about your children and your grandchildren and I talked to them about the things I was doing in education and allowances for young families and they just exploded into applause which reflected what I thought and that is that elderly people don't put the sort of dichotomy that you do, that it's one or the other that they are concerned about their kids and their grandchildren. But now let me talk about the pension and I will give you the facts. The facts are very simple. When we came to office the pension as a proportion of average weekly earnings was 22.7% and in fact in their 7 years in government before 1983, the conservatives with all their talk about their concern for old people they had deliberately allowed the real purchasing power of the pension to go down by 2.5% A decline of 2.5% in purchasing power and walked out of office with the pensioners at 22.7% of average weekly earning. I reversed that, we have increased the real value the purchasing power of the pension by over 7% and in fact next month now within a matter of weeks as a result of legislation already passed the pension will go to over 25% of average weekly earnings which will be the highest proportion, the highest proportion that a pensioner has had of average weekly earnings for more than 40 years. So there is the direct answer to your question, facts, not prejudices. I would make the further point that one of the areas of concern that have

8.

PM: (cont'd) been put to us properly by the pensioners organisations has been that pensioners paying tax on their non pension income. As a result of those representations we have made the decisions now which will mean by next year 80% of all pensioners, part pensioners, will not be paying tax and by 1995 no pensioner or part pensioner will be paying any tax at all. So those are the facts.

CALLER: Do you know what the poverty line is?

PM: The poverty line, that's the one the Henderson Poverty Line?

CALLER: I guess so.

PM: Well no, but it's no good, with respect saying I guess so because my question to you is a very important one because there is a great deal of argument and discussion within the welfare industry as to what is the poverty line, so when you ask me what is the poverty line I want to know what you mean because historically there has been a use of the Henderson Poverty Line. Now that Henderson Poverty Line is now may I say, basically not accepted within the welfare industry itself. What the welfare industry has rather said to us when we are talking about poverty, we should have benchmarks so I know what the benchmarks are in regard to poverty that we agreed upon with the welfare sector when we were talking about what we would do in regard to payments for children from low income families and I will tell you what those benchmarks are. They are for a kid up to aged 13, 15% of the married pension rate and for a kid between 13 and 15, 20% and it's on the basis of accepting those measures in consultation with the welfare industry in this country that we are now paying over \$2 billion per annum into low income families for kids. Now those that are benchmarks which the welfare sector itself accepted as being relevant.

CALLER: Yes well the pensioners and you have just been speaking to the previous lady, Mr Keating gave them a very small rise and of course the cost of living in WA appears to be higher than anywhere else in Australia and I know of pensioners who are selling clothing and jewellery, anything to try and cope with the cost of living here.

PM: You heard the answer I gave before as to, and this community of ours in Australia has a choice, a very simple choice to make and the choice is one in which they can judge the previous people who deliberately allowed the real purchasing power of the pension to decline, deliberately allowed it and walked out of office with the pension at 22.7% of average weekly earnings or they can choose a government which will have taken the pension to the highest proportion of average weekly earnings in 40 years and has legislated to remove pension income, any pensioners getting income out of the tax area. Now it's a very simple choice and they can also make the choice between a party, that is ours, which has imposed the capital gains tax which will bring in billions of

9.

PM: (cont'd) dollars to ensure that we are able to keep on looking after pensioners or vote for the alternative who will take those billions of dollars out of the Commonwealth revenue which can be used for pensions and pay those billions of dollars into the pockets of less than 1% of the wealthiest taxpayers in the country. That's the sort of choice that's there.

CALLER: I have a question for you about the problems of the pharmaceutical industry. Given that the pharmaceutical industry in Australia is demonstrably one of the most efficient in the world, one of the most effective in the world -

PM: Could I just interrupt you. When you talk about the pharmaceutical industry are you talking about at the retail level or the manufacturing.

CALLER: Retail level.

PM: The retail level, is demonstrably the most, what did you say, what was the description of it? Demonstrably the what?

CALLER: Efficient, effective and dynamic in the world.

PM: How's that demonstrated?

CALLER: As far as productivity goes there has been enormous increases in productivity.

PM: What's the proof of that?

CALLER: The proof of that is in the cost of pharmaceuticals per head of population and by many other -

PM: What are those figures because I am not trying to be difficult, but my friend I have spent months with the representatives of the pharmaceutical industry and asked them to produce the figures which they refused to produce before the inquiry. You see where this becomes very difficult for you is that if these claims were right, these claims that you just trot out, if they were right why did not the representatives of the retail pharmacists agree to go before the Remuneration Tribunal, a tribunal not set up by me, not set up by me, set up by our conservative predecessors, they deliberately set it up to handle these matters. When we couldn't get an agreement with the pharmacists we said alright we will go to the tribunal set up by the conservatives and there if all these marvellous things are right that you are talking about then one would have expected that the guild and the pharmacists would have bought out all these statistics and put them to the tribunal to establish their case. Instead they refused to cooperate. Now that just tends to make a person looking at it objectively believe that they must have had some doubt about their position if what you assert, you just come on a program and assert that you are the best in the world. If you are the best in the

10.

PM: (cont'd) world why did not your representatives and your guild and your organisation go before the tribunal and put the figures, they refused to do it.

CALLER: You are avoiding the question that I am trying to put to you.

WARNOCK: Go ahead.

CALLER: Irrespective of what you are saying and there is great argument, what I am trying to say to you is the industry is at least effective and you must agree with that.

PM: I'll agree that it's effective in that it provides a service which I recognise and appaude to the community. I have no question about that, I respect the industry.

CALLER: Thank you. Now what I would like to say to you is why is there always the confrontational approach through your Minister for Aged Care with pharmacy, why can't we work together to improve health care for Australians as a general and particularly the elderly Australians which are an increasing proportion of our population and a section of the community which needs better pharmaceutical care to avoid preventable illness and hospitalisation and why are you going to cut \$250 million out of pharmaceutical benefits to Australians when we are at a stage in -

PM: Let me interrupt there. Your assertion of cutting \$250 million out in terms of services to Australians is simply unfounded and I think you know it. You assert that we have confrontation I wish you would instead of being and emotional you would stick to the facts and even your industry will accept that what you are saying is not true. We have in fact tried at considerable length including with representatives here from WA. You ask your representatives from WA I met with them here they came over, they came over to Canberra, they agreed to when I sat and met with them here in my hotel in Perth they said look we can work this out. I said good, come over, your representatives from WA came to Canberra we sat down, I worked out an agreement with them and they went off saying to us yes we can sell that and they went off to the rest of the industry and the rest of the industry in Australia said to your WA representatives who told me they had a deal, sorry can't do it. Why, because they wanted a confrontation, your WA representatives sat down with me, worked out an arrangement and then the reason it couldn't be sold because the rest of your people in the rest of Australia wanted the confrontation. We wanted it settled so what did they do then, you say I wanted confrotnation, I amended the legislation, I amended the legislation to put on the Remuneration Tribunal directly a representative who had been involved in retail pharmacy. It hadn't been done before but I did that to meet your concerns I said alright we will agree to going back to the position before the decision of the tribunal, agreed to do that and agreed then to allow the Remuneration Tribunal to have a total rehearing on the matter and we said we would accept the decision and you talk about

11.

PM: (cont'd) confrontation. There is only one side in this that wants confrontation and that is your guild who repudiated the attempt by your WA representations to get an agreement. Now those are the facts on record and as acknowledged by the tribunal itself.

CALLER: What I am very concerned about, I am a small businessman myself.

PM: What sort of business are you in?

CALLER: Marine cargo surveying and insurance life assessing.

PM: What's that, marine -

CALLER: Marine cargo.

PM: Yes.

CALLER: Surveying.

PM: Yes.

CALLER: And insurance life assessing.

PM: How long you been in that?

CALLER: Two years. Now naturally I am concerned like other small businesses on the high interest rates and how best -

PM: Sure, sure.

CALLER: - a working -

PM: I can understand that, yes.

CALLER: Now what I have is a suggestion, we obviously have to improve our balance of payments to achieve a surplus. Now what I recognise and see myself is what I believe anyhow. We need to have a government sponsored export centralised system for information and assistance in each major city to coordinate those small businesses that may wish to export. The problem we now have at this stage appears to be that a high number of small businesses would be frightened to death just at the thought or the prospects of the problems involved in exporting.

PM: Sure. Because I am getting the indication that we are just about out of time do you mind if I just interrupt you because I want to say thank you very much for your call and you have hit right on the note that we tried to address in the decision we have just made at the beginning of this year which I announced the other day and that is we have had a system called the export market development grant scheme and we have decided to supplement that with a new scheme and together the two schemes will involve an increase in outlays over the next three years. But one of the particular points in the new scheme goes directly to what you said because we

12.

PM: (cont'd) recognise that for individual small businesses there is exactly the sort of, the apprehension that's involved in just one small business thinking what can I do by myself and so part of this new grant scheme is going to be involved in assisting associations in this area to bring small groups together and small individual businesses together in the hope that by making grants available in that way we will be able to overcome what I do understand can be the apprehension for one small business in trying to tackle something like this itself so your concept is 1000% right and if again you would like to let Diana have your name and address I would be more than happy to see that you get personally sent to you the full details of that scheme because it's exactly in line with what you have suggested.

CALLER: Just observations virtually. One thing that does make me feel cross about the Opposition proposal in respect of interest rates. Now I retired in 1980, ten years ago I invested prudently I thought by investing in SEC and Telecom at 10.9% and 12.3% and 2 years later when the Liberal government was still in power I could have got 17.5% from both those bodies for 10 years. Now you know to me when I hear Andrew Peacock talking about reducing interest rates there was a tremendous escalation and I would like to know where I can get 17.5% guaranteed by the government for 10 years at the present time. The other I would like to mention is the foreign debt overseas, I don't think a lot of people, certainly people that I associate with understand that the majority of that is private enterprise. I believe that Paul Keating said that the Commonwealth Government has not borrowed overseas in the last 2 years and the third point if I may -

PM: You are going alright so far.

CALLER: Beg your pardon.

PM: You are going alright so far mate.

CALLER: Well I always believe in two pluses and then one minus.

PM: Ok, here comes the minus for me.

CALLER: With the policies that you have adopted is the negative gearing. Now this seems to me to favour the two income families, as a matter of fact I have had approaches from financial advisers suggesting that I should borrow against my investments and invest in property etc at high interest rates so that I can reduce my income tax. Now you know to me that is ludicrous.

PM: Firstly in regard to interest rates it is the case that interest rates in fact reached a higher level under the conservatives than it did under us. The 90 day bill rate peaked at 22% in April of 1982 and it's inevitable that

13.

PM: (cont'd) interest rates would have to explode under a coalition government for two reasons. One there would be a wages explosion which they don't really deny and they blow the budget surplus, again what we have got to understand there is that the Opposition is trying a straight out bribe of the electorate, a \$6 billion bribe of the electorate, \$6 billion of promises which are unfunded, that means you blow the surplus and or, that or a combination of massive spending cuts and they will not come clean with the electorate and to the extent that they blow the budget surplus and have a wages explosion that means interest rates go up. On foreign debt you are right there, 65% of foreign debt is owned by the private sector. We have made decisions that they can service that debt and of course are using a lot of that debt to build up our infrastructure in this country. I mean for instance if you just look at it when you talk about the debt, a lot of that debt has gone into the investment for instance of the North West shelf which is now earning us export income and it is true that as a result of getting the \$17 billion surplus and the Commonwealth budget over the last 3 years we have paid off our overseas debt, we are indeed net international creditors to the tune of about \$4 billion and on negative gearing just let me say there that the policies that have been adopted there were adopted with a view to trying to assist in regard to the whole housing and accommodation area.

WARNOCK: Just one final question from me however, before we go. What will you do if Labor loses?

PM: Well I don't believe that Labor is going to lose Diana. I simply say that because I believe the Australian people are not going to be bribed and I have always taken the view that they won't buy that sort of proposition so I don't contemplate, I don't contemplate losing because I have got greater faith in the Australian people than that.

ENDS